

Shannon Donnelly
Senior Environmental Coordinator
P.O. Box 100360 NSK 61
Anchorage, AK 99510
(907) 659-7242 (phone)
(907) 659-7712 (fax)
Shannon.Donnelly@conocophillips.com

May 5, 2009

Roger Fernandez Natural Gas STAR Program U.S. EPA (6207 J) 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20460

Subject: ConocoPhillips Alaska, Inc. and ConocoPhillips Natural Gas Company

Annual Report 2008

Dear Mr. Fernandez:

I am pleased to submit the Annual Report for 2008 on behalf of ConocoPhillips Alaska, Inc. and ConocoPhillips Natural Gas Company ("CPAI"). We continue to make steady progress toward our 2007 Implementation Plan goals.

Please contact me with any questions or comments. Thank you very much for your support of our efforts.

Sincerely,

Shannon Donnelly

Sr. Environmental Coordinator

Enclosure

Cc: Allison Berkowitz

Company Information

Annual Report 2008



Production Sector

Company Name:	ConocoPhillips Alaska, Inc. and ConocoPhillips Natural Gas Company (CPAI)	
Gas STAR Contact:	Shannon Donnelly	
Title:	Environmental Coordinator	
Address:	P.O. Box 100360	
	NSK-61	
City, State, Zip Code:	Anchorage, AK 99510	
Telephone:	(907) 659-7242	
Fax:	(907) 659-7712	
E-mail:	Shannon.Donnelly@conocophillips.com	

Annual Report Summary

X BMP 1: Identify and replace high-bleed pneumatic devices

NA BMP 2: Install flash tank separators on glycol dehydrators

X Partner Reported Opportunities (please specify):

Reduced emission completion

Period covered by report:

From:

1/1/08

To:

12/31/08

Partner Signature Required:

I hereby certify the accuracy of the data contained in this report.



May 5, 2009

Date

- Because the implementation of some technologies reduces emissions for multiple years, Gas STAR allows certain activities to count towards a company's emission reductions beyond the initial year of implementation. Gas STAR designates the maximum length of time that these reductions may accrue as "sunset dates." The Appendix lists these sunset dates. Companies can report the corresponding methane emission reductions each year up to the allowable sunset date. Or, companies may wish to report reductions only once for the implementation year, and have EPA automatically apply the sunset date and count those emissions for the allowable number of years.
- In addition to reporting methane emissions reductions, you are welcome to include other information about your company's participation in Natural Gas STAR in the "Additional Program Accomplishments" section of this form. The Natural Gas STAR Program will use any information entered in this section to recognize the efforts and accomplishments of outstanding partners.



OMB Control No. 2060-0328 Expires 07/31/2011

BMP 1: Identify and Replace High-Bleed Pneumatic Devices

Current Y	ear Activities			
A. Facility/location identifier information: Beluga River Unit				
B. Facility summary: Number of devices replaced: 1 devices Percent of system now equipped with low/no-bleed units: 13 % (including new installations and retrofits)	C. Cost summary: Estimated cost per replacement (including equipment and labor) /replacement \$5,500 (level controller)			
D. Methane emissions reduction: 124 Mcf	E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction? One-year X Multi-year If Multi-year: X Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration (BMP 1 has a sunset period of 7 years). Partner will report this activity annually up to allowed sunset date.			
Please identify the basis for the emissions reduction e	estimate, using the space provided to show any calculations			
Standard calculation Methane emissions reduction = [Annual emissions from high-bleed devices being replaced (in Mcf/yr) - Annual emissions for the replacement devices (in Mcf/yr)] x Number of devices replaced	X Calculation using default Methane emissions reduction = 124 Mcf/yr x Number of devices replaced Other (please specify):			
Please specify your data source:Field measurementManufacturer specifications	For assistance quantifying the methane emission reductions achieved by BMP 1, please refer to the Gas STAR Emission Reduction Quantification Reference Guide, available on the Gas STAR Web site at: epa.gov/gasstar/documents/xls/quantifying_ngs_methane_reductions.xls.			
F. Total value of gas saved: \$ 868.00 Total value of gas saved = Methane emissions reduction (in Mcf) x Gas value (in \$/Mcf) [If not known, use default of \$7.00/Mcf]	G. How many high-bleed devices do you plan to replace next year? 3-5 devices in 2009			
Previous Y	ears' Activities			

Use the table below to report any past activities implemented, but not previously reported to the Natural Gas STAR Program

Year	# Devices Replaced	Total Cost of Replacements (incl. equipment and labor) (\$)	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)
May 2005	4 well control units were taken out of service in 2005. In 2008 the decision was made to replace with electric controls when returned to service. (target 2009)	TBD (cost will be available after 2009 installation activities are complete)	496 Mscf/yr	\$3,472/yr



OMB Control No. 2060-0328 Expires 07/31/2011

<u>BMP 1 Comments:</u> Please use the back of the page for additional space if needed. Under the CPAI Implementation Plan, the related goal is to conduct an inventory of high bleed devices at the Beluga River Unit and determine appropriate follow up in terms of economic methane emission controls based on the outcome of the survey. CPAI exceeded this goal. The physical inventory was completed in June of 2008. The results have been compiled, and now serve as a reference for reduction opportunities and tracking of replacement activities. CPAI has committed that future new well installations (including workovers) will be equipped with electric well controls and level controllers. As practicable, existing pneumatic controls will be replaced with electric controls.



OMB Control No. 2060-0328 Expires 07/31/2011

BMP 2: Install Flash Tank Separators on Glycol Dehydrators

	Current Ye	ar Activiti	es	
A. Facility/location identifier informa	ation: NA			
B. Facility summary: Number of flash tank separators installed: separators Percent of dehydrators in system equipped with flash tank separators: %		C. Cost summary: Estimated cost per flash tank separator installation (including equipment and labor): \$ /installation		
D. Methane emissions reduction: —	—— Mcf	or a multi-yea If Multi-yea Parti automa	te emissions reductions a or year reduction?	ar Multi-year e and let EPA n reductions based
		☐ Parti sunset o	ner will report this activity annudate.	ually up to allowed
Please identify the basis for the e	emissions reduction es	timate, using	the space provided to show	any calculations
☐ Standard calculation		☐ Calculation	using default	
Methane emissions reduction per flash to circulation rate (in gal/hr) x Methane entr hours of operation (in hrs/yr) x 0.90] / 1,0	ainment rate (in scf/gal)* x		missions reduction = [Average gas th Mcf x 0.90] / 1,000 se specify):	roughput (in MMcf/yr) x
not known, use a default value of 3 scf/gal for energy exchange pumps or 1 scf/gal for electric pumps				
Please specify your data source:Field measurementManufacturer specifications		For assistance quantifying the methane emission reductions achieved by BMP 2, please refer to the Gas STAR Emission Reduction Quantification Reference Guide, available on the Gas STAR Web site at: epa.gov/gasstar/documents/xls/quantifying_ngs_methane_reductions.xls		
F. Total value of gas saved: Total value of gas saved= Methane emissions reduction (in Mcf) x Gas value (in \$/Mcf) [If not known, use default of \$7.00/Mcf]		G. How many flash tank separators do you plan to install next year? flash tank separators		
Use the table below to report any pa	Previous Ye			Sas STAP Program
Year # Flash Tank Separators Installed	Total Cost of Inst	tallation	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)



OMB Control No. 2060-0328 Expires 07/31/2011

Partner Reported Opportunities (PROs) (For more details on PROs, visit epa.gov/gasstar/tools/recommended.html)

Current Year Activities			
A. Facility/location identifier information: Kuparuk River Unit- F	Reduced Emission Completion		
B. Activity description: Please provide a separate PRO reporting form for <u>each</u> activity reported. If reporting a DI&M activity, please use a separate page for each location/facility surveyed.			
Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented (choose from the list in the appendix or describe your own):	Please describe how your company implemented this activity:		
Reduced Emission Completion Well 3K-102	CPAI voluntarily utilized a portable vapor recovery system on Well 3K-102 to minimize fugitive emissions resulting from active flowback (clean up) of this new oil producing well.		
C. Level of Implementation (check one): Number of units installed: units	D. Are emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction? X One-year Multi-year		
X Frequency of practice: 1 times/year	If Multi-year: Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration*.		
	☐ Partner will report this activity annually up to allowed sunset date.		
E. Methane emissions reduction: Mcf	F. Cost summary: Estimated cost of implementing this practice/activity (including equipment and labor): \$ 10,000 This was a low cost opportunity as the vapor recovery system was available on standby in the field. Future applications would be expected to be more costly if dedicated equipment were required. The cost estimate represents the incremental cost associated with emission control, not the full cost of the flowback operation.		
Please identify the basis for the emissions reduction estin	nate, using the space provided to show any calculations		
☐ Actual field measurement	☐ Other (please specify):		
X Calculation using manufacturer specifications/other source (eng	ineering calculation)		
For assistance quantifying the methane emission reductions achieved by Emission Reduction Quantification Reference Guide, available on the Gaepa.gov/gasstar/documents/xls/quantifying_ngs_methane_reductions.xls	s STAR Web site at:		
G. Total value of gas saved: \$9,100 Total value of gas saved = Methane emissions reduction (in Mcf) x Gas value (in \$/Mcf) [If not known, use default of \$7.00/Mcf]	H. To what extent do you expect to implement this practice next year? TBD- CPAI utilizes reduced emission completions in a number of applications each year. The focus for CPAI Natural Gas STAR annual reporting is those events where emission reductions exceed standard practices.		
Previous Year	rs' Activities		
Use the table below to report any past implementation of th	is PRO, but not previously reported to Natural Gas STAR		

Frequency of Practice/Activity or # Total Cost of Practice/Activity (incl. equipment and labor) (\$) Year **Estimated Reductions** Value of Gas (Mcf/yr) Saved (\$) of Installations



		Expires 07/31/2011

OMB Control No. 2060-0328

PRO Comments: Please use the back of the page for additional space if needed.

^{*} Because the implementation of some technologies reduces emissions for multiple years, Gas STAR allows certain activities to count towards a company's emission reductions beyond the initial year of implementation. Gas STAR designates the maximum length of time that these reductions may accrue as "sunset dates." The Appendix lists these sunset dates. Companies can report the corresponding methane emission reductions each year up to the allowable sunset date. Or, companies may wish to report reductions only once for the implementation year, and have EPA automatically apply the sunset date and count those emissions for the allowable number of years.



OMB Control No. 2060-0328 Expires 07/31/2011

Additional Program Accomplishments

The Natural Gas STAR Program will use any information entered here to recognize the efforts and achievements of outstanding partners.

Please include any additional information you would like to share about your company's participation in Natural Gas STAR. Examples may include:

- Activities to strengthen your program (e.g., training/education, innovative technologies or activities, pilot projects, employee incentive programs).
- Efforts to communicate your participation and successes (e.g., internal newsletters, press releases, company Web site).
- Participation in Natural Gas STAR program activities (e.g., contributions to case studies, presentation at annual workshop).

Additional Accomplishments:

- CPAI has continued to make steady progress toward all implementation plan goals.
- As documented above, Goal 1 has been completed and is serving as a tool in reduction planning.
- The engineering evaluation of vapor recovery for the CPF1 produced water storage tanks is underway.
- In September 2008, CPAI satisfied the third implementation plan goal by conducting a pilot leak detection survey using hand-held FLIR camera technology with the assistance of COP Canada. The results of the survey indicate that CPAI fugitive losses are considerably less than typical of operations elsewhere. In May 2008, CPAI Aviation hosted field trials of an aircraft mounted Gas Find IR unit in support of this important technology, in coordination with FLIR Technologies, Inc.